UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/650,608	08/28/2003	Jean-Pol Cassart	B45300-1	8978		
	7590 12/11/200 BEECHAM CORPOR		EXAM	INER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			DAVIS, MINH TAM B			
	OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1642		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/11/2008	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US\_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/650,608	CASSART ET AL					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>MINH-TAM DAVIS</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>ERICK KRON</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>09 September 2008</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>the immunohistochemistry assay cited in the argument of 08/05/08 indicates whether a protein is expressed by a cell, but not whether a protein is present on the surface of a cell.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS				